

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,550	07/05/2006	Andrew Patrick Wildenberg	007193-17 US	8627
36234 THE MCCALL	7590 03/18/200 LUM LAW FIRM, P. C	EXAMINER		
685 BRIGGS S		GREENE, JAIME M		
PO BOX 929 ERIE, CO 805	16		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Ertil, CO GOD	10		1634	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/563,550	WILDENBERG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAIME M. GREENE	1634		
	10/563,550 Examiner	10/563,550 WILDENBERG ET / Examiner Art Unit	

	JAIME M. GREENE	1634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
 All The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one or the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of a valued or 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMELINE OF Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the property of	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belove)	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a compared to the present additional claims without canceling a compared to the present additional claims without canceling a compared to the present additional claims without canceling a compared to the present additional claims without canceling a compared to place the application in better appears.			ne issues for			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 						
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [•				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:						
Jaime M. Greene	/Carla Myers/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1634				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments have been considered but not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Pinkel does not teach using just one nucleic acid sequence and does not teach competative binding. However, while the claims are analyzed in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not real into the claims. Since the claims neither require using only one nucleic acid sequence nor that a competative binding occur, the teaching of Pinkel anticipate the claims as broadly written. Further, it should be noted that since the phrase "competative binding" is not defined by the specification, the phrase can broadly encompass, e.g., any hybridization reaction between three sequences regardless of the anount of complementarity between the sequences. Therefore, by teaching contacting an array with two sets of nucleic acids (see, e.g. Pinkel claim 1), Pinkel teaches a competative binding situation.

It is noted that Applicant also presents prior arguments, which begin on page 3 of the arguments and remarks. These arguments have been addressed previously and are maintained for the reasons stated in the Office action of 12/13/2007.